UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY	V Dealtot No. 24 CV (21 (IME)	
YEIRO JOSE ABREU BAUTISTA,	X Docket No. 24-CV-631 (JMF)	
Plaintiff,		
-against-	PROPOSED CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER	
ANGEL LUIS PAGAN-RODRIGUEZ, PFG TransINC. and RYDER TRUCK RENTAL INC.,		
Defendants	the documents so classified. This Court, however, has not reviewed the documents referenced herein; therefore, by so ordering this stipulation, the Court makes no finding as to whether the documents are confidential	
ANGEL LUIS PAGAN-RODRIGUEZ, PFG TRANSCO, INC., and RYDER TRUCK RENTAL INC.,	finding as to whether the documents are confidential. That finding will be made, if ever, upon a document-by-document review pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Court's Individual Rules and Practices and subject to the presumption in favor of public access to "judicial documents." <i>See generally Lugosch v. Pyramid Co. of Onondaga</i> , 435 F.3d 110, 119-20 (2d Cir. 2006).	
Third-Party Plaintiffs		
JULIO A MONSERRATE RIVERA,	To that end, the Court does not "so order" any provision to the extent that it purports to authorize the parties to	
	nt file documents under seal without a prior court order. See New York ex rel. Khurana v. Spherion Corp., No. 15- XCV-6605 (JMF), 2019 WL 3294170 (S.D.N.Y. July 19,	
JULIO A MONSERRATE RIVERA	2019).	
Plaintiff,		
-against-		
ANGEL LUIS PAGAN-RODRIGUEZ, KENNETH O. LESTER COMPANY, INC., PFG TRANSCO, INC., and RYDER TRUCK RENTAL INC.		
Defendan		
JESSE M. FURMAN, United States District Judge	<b>:</b> :	

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE the confidentiality of information contained in documents produced by Angel Luis Pagan-Rodriguez, Kenneth O. Lester Company, Inc., PFG Transco, Inc.,

and Ryder Truck Rental Inc., in the above captioned litigation, and pursuant to this Court's Order dated April 22, 2024, it is Ordered, as follows:

- 1. Counsel for Angel Luis Pagan-Rodriguez, Kenneth O. Lester Company, Inc., PFG Transco, Inc., and Ryder Truck Rental Inc., identified or designated the following as "confidential information" which is to be considered confidential and within the scope of this Confidentiality Agreement:
  - Dash Cam camera footage.
  - Any policies, procedures, guidelines or manuals regarding safe operation of the tractor trailer in use by defendants at the time of the collision.
- 2. For purposes of this Confidentiality Order Robert L. Astrachan, Esq. of Carrion Accident & Injury Attorneys, PLLC, counsel for plaintiff Julio A. Monserrate Rivera; JoAn E. Abreu, Esq. of Cannon & Acosta, counsel for plaintiff Yeiro Jose Abreu Bautista; and counsel who may appear for third-party defendant Julio A Monserrate Rivera, are hereinafter referred to as "receiving attorneys."
- 3. All materials described in Paragraph 1 herein as "confidential information" shall be maintained in strict confidence by the receiving attorneys and used solely in the preparation for trial of the above referenced action.
- 4. Said "confidential information" shall not be used by anyone for any business or other purposes whatsoever.
- 5. The "receiving attorneys" are directed to keep the Confidential Information in confidence, and to prevent its disclosure to any third party, other than duly appointed agents involved in the analysis of the information relative to the pending litigation.
- 6. The "receiving attorneys" are directed not to duplicate this document, via a photocopy machine or any other copying device, except as it relates to use in this litigation.

Case 1:24-cv-00631-JMF Document 36 Filed 04/26/24 Page 3 of 3

7. The obligations of non-disclosure under this Agreement shall survive the return of

the "confidential information" by the "receiving attorneys" under this provision.

Subject to the Rules of Evidence, the issue of whether "confidential information"

described herein, is to be publicly offered into evidence at trial or in connection with motion

practice, or marked and separately filed under seal with the clerk of the court, is subject to a further

application to the Court as to whether further protection is warranted in light of the presumption

in favor of public access to judicial documents.

9. No part of the restrictions imposed by this Confidentiality Agreement may be

terminated, except by further Order of this Court, for good cause shown. The final determination

or settlement of this action shall not relieve any person who has received such "confidential

information" from the obligations imposed by this Order, and the Court shall retain jurisdiction

after such final determination or settlement for the limited purpose of enforcing the provisions of

this Confidentiality Order.

8.

10. Upon final conclusion of this matter, all "confidential information" and copies

thereof shall be returned to the Law Offices of Jon C. Lane, counsel for the producing party,

provided, however, that at the option of the producing party, the receiving party shall destroy all

"confidential information" and copies thereof, and provide a certification that such destruction was

performed. All abstracts, charts, summaries, notes or memoranda embodying the "confidential

information" shall be destroyed by the receiving party, who shall provide a certification that such

destruction was performed.

Dated: April 26,

pril 26, 2024

SO ORDERED.

United States District Judge